Oral Hypoglycaemic Agents and Insulin

Ketan Dhatariya Consultant in Diabetes NNUH **To Start With, Some Statistics**

The incidence of diabetes has risen from 1.8 to 3.3 per 1000 person years between 1994 and 2003 The prevalence is now 2.7 per 1000 person years Estimated at 4.67% of the population has either diagnosed or undiagnosed diabetes

Yorkshire and Humber Public Health Observatory March 2006

To Start With, Some More Statistics

Type 2 diabetes accounts for 92% of all cases in the UK
The incidence of type 2 diabetes doubled between 1994 and 2003
Diabetes reduces life expectancy by 15 years for type 1 and 5 or 7 years in type 2 (M/F)

Yorkshire and Humber Public Health Observatory March 2006

To Start With, Some More Statistics

 Diabetes accounts for 5% of all NHS expenditure – in 2002 £1.3bn
 It accounts for 9% of all hospital costs

 Drugs used in the treatment of diabetes account for the second biggest cost

Yorkshire and Humber Public Health Observatory March 2006

Myths in the Treatment of Diabetes

- The treatment of diabetes is straightforward and response to treatment is ready and predictable
- The majority of people with diabetes are mainly supervised in secondary care
- Community services have the capacity to absorb work shifted from specialist services
- Practitioners in the community possess the equivalent knowledge and skills to those based in specialist diabetes centres
- Major relocation of resources will not undermine specialist centres which deliver speciality services to in-patients, as well as out-patients
- The shift of care will produce better clinical Munro et al Pract Diab Int 2005;22(5):153-4

Choices, Choices

Oral hypoglycaemic agents

Insulins



Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

Their Effects Are Additive



Oral Agents and Site of Action



Oral Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

 Marginal benefit – no overall effect on hyperinsulinaemia or insulin sensitivity

 Best for individuals with normal fasting glucose but high postprandial glucose levels

Maximum HbA₁C reduction of 0.75%
 Can be used in combination with insulin, metformin or SU's

 GI side effects abound therefore dose gradually built up

 Contraindicated in inflammatory bowel disease, cirrhosis, severe renal impairment, history of abdominal surgery

STOP-NIDDM trial (Lancet 2002)

 714 patients with impaired glucose tolerance randomised to 100mg tds acarbose and 715 to placebo for a mean of 3.3 years



Compared with placebo:

48% reduction in incidence of new onset Type 2 diabetes

42% increase in incidence of normalised OGTT

Days after randomisation

Patients at risk

Acarbose 682 655 628 612 531 523 515 497 463 447 432 349 268 212 Placebo 686 671 655 640 512 505 497 470 434 427 414 331 255 208

Effect of acarbose and placebo on cumulative probability of remaining free of diabetes over time

Chiasson et al Lancet 2002 359:2072-2077

Acarbose - Reasons for Premature Discontinuation

<u>Acarbose (n=714)</u> <u>Placebo (n=715)</u>

All adverse events	136 (19%)	37 (5%)
Gastrointestinal	93 (13%)	18 (3%)
Flatulence	67 (9%)	5 (1%)
Diarrhoea	39 (5%)	6 (1%)
Abdominal pain	23 (3%)	4 (1%)
Other	9 (1%)	7 (1%)

Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

Metaglinides

Repaglinide and Nateglinide
 First introduced in 1998

 Work by binding to the sulphonylurea receptor and 'squeezing' the β cell to release insulin

 They stimulate first-phase insulin release in a glucose-sensitive manner

Metaglinides



Metaglinides

- Short acting
 Taken only with meals
 Marginal benefit
 Best for individuals with normal fasting glucose but high postprandial glucose levels
- Maximum HbA₁C reduction of 1.0%

Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors



Derived from French lilac (Galega officinalis)

 Used since medieval times in some form or other

 Should be the first line oral hypoglycaemic agent for almost all individuals with type 2 diabetes

BMI is no longer an issue

Ungar G, Freedman L, Shapira S. Pharmacological studies of a new oral hypoglycaemic drug. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine. 1957;95:190-192

- Works by decreasing hepatic gluconeogenesis, decreasing gut glucose uptake and increasing peripheral insulin sensitivity
- Relies on adequate β cell function
- Weight neutral
- Can be used in combination with other oral agents or insulin

GI disturbance is common so dose titrated

Maximum HbA₁C reduction is 1.5%

 Hypoglycaemia is NOT a side effect of treatment

 Avoid in conditions predisposing to renal insufficiency and/or hypoxia

Lactic acidosis is a theoretical risk

Preventing Cardiovascular Complications UKPDS: Benefits of Metformin in Overweight Type 2 Diabetes Patients



Proportion of overweight people with Type 2 diabetes treated with metformin maintaining target HbA_{1c} (< 7%)



UKPDS HbA_{1c} - Cross-sectional, Median Values



Lessons from UKPDS: Better Control Means Fewer Complications



Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

Have been around since the 1950's

 Act by binding to the SU receptor causing an influx of Ca²⁺ and an exocytosis of insulin containing vesicles

Relies on adequate β cell function

Good for rapid symptom relief



 Use limited to individuals with a BMI < 25 or in whom metformin is contraindicated

 When used in combination, they flatten glucose excursions

 Can be used in combination with most other oral hypoglycaemic agents

 Their long half life makes hypoglycaemia more likely, especially in the elderly

Avoid in hepatic or renal failure

Maximum HbA₁C reduction is 1.5%

Weight gain is common

Glycaemic Control Starts to Deteriorate After 1 Year with a Sulphonylurea



UKPDS HbA_{1c} - Cross-sectional, Median Values


UKPDS: Sulphonylureas Have No Impact on Cardiovascular Outcomes



Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

 Pioglitazone (rosiglitazone was withdrawn in 2010)

 Work by increasing peripheral insulin sensitivity at a nuclear level on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ)

"First do no harm"

Maximum HbA₁C reduction is 1.5%

But this takes 4 to 6 months to achieve maximal benefit so give it time!

 Potential other benefits when considering type 2 diabetes as an 'endotheliopathy' outweighed by other factors

Work by altering gene expression

 PPAR α and δ also important as receptors for fatty acids and their metabolites and thus play a role the regulation of glucose, fatty acid, and cholesterol metabolism

Combination PPAR αγ agents were withdrawn due to safety concerns

TZD's – Molecular Targets



Mechanism of Action of Thiazolidinediones in Vivo in Humans



Yki-Jarvinen, H. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1106-1118

Comparative Effects of Maximal Doses of Rosiglitazone (8 mg) and Pioglitazone (30 to 45 mg) on Glycaemic Control as Measured by Absolute Change in Glycosylated Haemoglobin as Compared with Placebo or Control Group (Metformin, Sulphonylurea, or Insulin Alone or in Combination)

Type of Therapy	Study	No. of Patients	Duration of Study	Decrease in Glycosylated Hemoglobin	Weight Gain*
			wk	%	kg
Pioglitazone					
Monotherapy	Aronoff et al.17	155	26	1.6	4.1
	Scherbaum and Göke18	162	26	0.7	1.9
	Rosenblatt et al.19	197	23	1.4	3.2
Combination therapy					
Metformin	Einhorn et al.20	328	16	0.8	2.3
Sulfonylurea	Kipnes et al.21	376	16	1.3	3.7
Insulin	Rosenstock et al.22	358	16	1.0	3.7
Rosiglitazone					
Monotherapy	Lebovitz et al. ²³	327	26	1.5	4.5
Combination therapy					
Metformin	Fonseca et al. ²⁴	223	26	1.2	3.1
	Gomez-Perez et al.25	70	26	1.5	3.3
Sulfonylurea	Vongthavaravat et al. ²⁶	348	26	1.2	
Insulin	Raskin et al.27	207	26	1.3	4.4

* A dash indicates no data.

Yki-Jarvinen, H. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1106-1118

 Combination tablet with metformin or glimeparide now available

Licensed for triple therapy

- Need to check LFT's periodically
- Avoid in hepatic impairment
- Avoid in CCF (fluid retention)
- Fracture risk vastly increased avoid in women
- Early data to show that they cause macular oedema

Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

GLP-1 Analogues

Exentatide and Liragultide

GLP-1 and **DPP-IV**

GLP-1 secreted upon the ingestion of food

> 5.Brain: Promotes satiety and reduces appetite

> > 2.α-cell: Suppresses postprandial glucagon secretion

1.β-cell: Enhances glucose-dependent insulin secretion in the pancreas - - - 3.Liver: ← - - reduces hepatic glucose
output

4.Stomach: slows the rate of gastric emptying

Nauck MA et al. *Diabetologi*a 1993;36:741–744; Larsson H et al. *Acta Physiol Scand* 1997;160:413–422; Nauck MA et al. *Diabetologia* 1996;39:1546–1553; Flint A et al. *J Clin Invest* 1998;101:515–520; Zander et al. *Lancet* 2002;359:824–830.

Different Effects at Different Doses



Do They Work? HbA₁C reduction of about 1.1% Extensive weight loss B cell preservation 5mg bd s/c fixed dose Expensive Haemorrhagic pancreatitis

Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors

DPP-IV Antagonists

Sitagliptin and Vildagliptin

GLP-1 and **DPP-IV**

GLP-1 secreted upon the ingestion of food

> 5.Brain: Promotes satiety and reduces appetite

> > 2.α-cell: Suppresses postprandial glucagon secretion

1.β-cell: Enhances glucose-dependent insulin secretion in the pancreas - - - 3.Liver: ← - - reduces hepatic glucose
output

4.Stomach: slows the rate of gastric emptying

Nauck MA et al. *Diabetologi*a 1993;36:741–744; Larsson H et al. *Acta Physiol Scand* 1997;160:413–422; Nauck MA et al. *Diabetologia* 1996;39:1546–1553; Flint A et al. *J Clin Invest* 1998;101:515–520; Zander et al. *Lancet* 2002;359:824–830.

Do They Work?

HbA₁C reduction of about 1.1%

Oral

B cell preservation

Weight neutral

Expensive

Hypoglycaemic Agents

- α glucosidase inhibitors
- Metaglinides
- Metformin
- Sulphonylureas
- Thiazolidindiones
- GLP 1 analogues
- DPP IV inhibitors
- SGLT2 inhibitors



 Work independently of insulin to inhibit glucose re-uptake from the proximal convoluted renal tubule

Can be used in type 1 or type 2 diabetes

Can be used in combination with any other agent

 Developed from the bark of the apple tree

 Hba1c reduction ~ 6mmol/mol (0.75%)

Associated with weight loss

Safety No increased incidence of hypos No increased incidence of UTI's Increase in urinary volumes by 4-600mls/day Slight increase in thrush

Safety Issues

Α

Source	OR (95% CI)
Cryer et al, 29 2005	1.01 (0.74-1.38)
Hanefeld et al, 3º 2004	1.31 (0.57-3.03)
Hermann et al,41 1994	0.57 (0.09-3.66)
Lawrence et al,44 2004	0.66 (0.03-16.86)
Schernthaner et al,50 2004	1.09 (0.49-2.40)
UKPDS Group,22 1998 (UKPDS 34)	0.58 (0.40-0.84)
Virtanen et al,55 2003	6.10 (0.23-159.27)
Overall pooled OR	0.85 (0.69-1.05)
Pooled OR, excluding UKPDS 34	1.04 (0.80-1.37)



Weight, %

44.00

5.39

1.68

0.55

6.58

41.62

0.18

100.00

Weight, % 1.07

0.20

7.72

8.52

82.48

100.00

Weight, % 2.69 7.58 60.89

> 5.92 22.92 100.00

Weight, % 1.54

90,74

2.50

0.19

2.53

100.00

0.1

Metformin is the safest

SU's are neutral

Rosi is bad

Pio is OK

Selvin E et al Arch Int Med 2008;186(19):2070-2080

ource	OR (95% CI)
Hermann et al,41 1994	1.74 (0.27-11.11)
Lawrence et al,44 2005	5.93 (0.23-151.78)
Marbury et al,45 1999	0.41 (0.14-1.21)
St John Sutton et al,58 2002	0.76 (0.34-1.70)
UKPDS Group,1 1998 (UKPDS 33)	0.92 (0.72-1.18)
Overall pooled OR	0.89 (0.71-1.11)
Pooled OR, excluding UKPDS 33	0.72 (0.41-1.28)

0.1 10 Odds Ratio

C

Source	OR (95% CI)	
Barnett et al, 26 2003	12.11 (0.66-222.45)	
Gómez-Perez et al,38 2002	1.46 (0.15-14.54)	
St John Sutton et al,58 2002	1.32 (0.59-2.95)	
Virtanen et al.55 2003	0.61 (0.02-15.96)	
Weissman et al,57 2005	1.77 (0.51-6.11)	
Overall pooled OR	1.68 (0.92-3.06)	

	_	_	_	
		Γ	ſ	

Source	OR (95% CI)
Aronoff et al.23 2000	0.56 (0.19-1.64)
Dormandy et al; PROactive Investigators,31 2005	0.89 (0.77-1.01)
Hanefeld et al,40 2004	0.76 (0.33-1.77)
Kipnes et al,43 2001	1.11 (0.51-2.39)
Lawrence et al,44 2004	0.66 (0.03-16.86)
Schernthaner et al.50 2004	0.92 (0.42-2.04)
Overall pooled OR	0.88 (0.78-1.00)
Pooled OR, excluding PROactive Study ³¹	0.86 (0.57-1.31)



Odds Ratio

10

US Trends in OHA Use



US Trends in Insulin Use



Increased Costs - Overall



Increased Insulin Costs



Things That Make the Most Difference

Smoking OR 2.87 Raised ApoB/ApoA1 ratio OR 3.25 History of hypertension OR 1.91 Diabetes OR 2.37 OR 1.12 Abdominal obesity Psychosocial factors OR 2.67 Daily fruit and veg intake OR 0.7 Regular alcohol consumption OR 0.9 OR 0.86 Regular physical activity

Metabolic Syndrome – ATP III

Abdominal obesity, given as waist circumference*	
Men	>102 cm (>40 in)
Women	>88 cm (>35 in)
Triglycerides	3.87 mmol/l
HDL cholesterol	
Men	<1.0 mmol/l
Women	<1.3 mmol/l
Blood pressure	130/ 85 mm
	Hg
Fasting glucose	6.0 mmol/l
	Circulation. 2002; 106: 3143-3421

Metabolic Syndrome – WHO

Insulin resistance, identified by 1 of the following:

- Type 2 diabetes
- Impaired fasting glucose
- Impaired glucose tolerance

• or for those with normal fasting glucose levels (<110 mg/dL, 5.94 mmol/l), glucose uptake below the lowest quartile for background population under investigation under hyperinsulinemic, euglycemic conditions

Plus any 2 of the following:

• Antihypertensive medication and/or high blood pressure (140 mm Hg systolic or 90 mm Hg diastolic)

• Plasma triglycerides 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L)

• HDL cholesterol <35 mg/dL (<0.9 mmol/L) in men or <39 mg/dL (1.0 mmol/L) in women

• BMI >30 kg/m² and/or waist:hip ratio >0.9 in men, >0.85 in women

• Urinary albumin excretion rate 20 µg/min or albumin:creatinine ratio 30 mg/g http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1999/WHO_NCD_NCS_99.2.pdf.

Metabolic Syndrome – IDF

Central Obesity

- Defined as waist circumference ≥ 94cm for Europid men and ≥ 80 cm for Europid women
- Plus ANY TWO of the following four factors
 - Raised TG: ≥ 1.7mmol/l or if specificly treated
 - Low HDL: < 1.03mmol/l in men or < 1.29 in women or if specificly treated
 - Raised BP: Systolic ≥ 130 or diastolic ≥ 85 or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension

 Raised fasting plasma glucose ≥ 5.6mmol/l <u>or</u> previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes. (If > 5.6 OGTT strongly recommended)

http://www.idf.org/webdata/docs/IDF_Metasyndrome_definition.pdf Accessed 10/5/05

Agreement?

 Anywhere between 35 and 75% depending on what definitions you compare

 However, CV risk is increased depending on how many components of the metabolic syndrome are present

CVD Event Rate vs Number of Risk Factors (Metabolic Syndrome)



Sattar et al Circulation 2003;108:414-419

BMI is Directly Related to Risk of Development of the Metabolic Syndrome


Time Magazine 23rd June 2008



Is it All in The Genes?



Monozygotic Twins

Dizygotic Twins Borjeson M Acta Paed Scand 1976;65:279-287

Trends in US Adult Overweight and Obesity - 20 to 74 Years



Note: Age-adjusted by the direct method to the year 2000 US Bureau of the Census estimates using the age groups 20-39, 40-59 and 60-74 years. Overweight defined as BMI>=25; Obesity defined as BMI>=30.

Kumanyika et al. Circulation 2008;118:428-464

Trends in US Childhood Overweight



Note: Overweight is defined as BMI >= gender- and weight-specific 95th percentile from the 2000 CDC Growth Charts. Source: National Health Examination Surveys II (ages 6-11) and III (ages 12-17), National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys I, II, III and 1999-2004, NCHS, CDC.

Kumanyika et al. Circulation 2008;118:428-464

2 Drugs available

OrlistatSibutramine

Rimonabant (Acomplia)

- A selective CB1 endocannabinoid receptor antagonist indicated for the treatment of obesity – 33% of people in the trials lost > 10% body weight (another 33% lost 5%)
- Reduces hunger
- Helps stop smoking
- May reduce alcohol cravings

CB₁ Blockers - Sites and Mechanisms of Action





Anorexigenic effect

Gastrointestinal tract Stimulate satiating signals engaging CB₁ in sensory

Adipose tissue Adiponectin stimulation Inhibition of lipogenesis (LPL activity)

Increase glucose uptake





CB₁ Blockade - Effects on Weight and Waist Circumference



Van Gaal et al Lancet 2005;365:1389-97

CB₁ Blockade - Effects on Weight Loss



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on Weight Loss at 1 year



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on Weight Loss at 2 years

RIO–North America



CB₁ Blockade – Proportion of Patients Achieving Target Weight at 1 year



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on Waist Circumference at 1 year



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on HDL at 1 year



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on HDL at 2 years



Data are mean ± SEM

CB₁ Blockade – Effects on TG at 1 year



CB₁ Blockade – Effects on TG at 2 years

RIO-Europe



Data are mean ± SEM

CB₁ Blockade - Effects on Lipids



Van Gaal et al Lancet 2005;365:1389-97

CB₁ Blockade – Effects on HbA₁C at 1 year (2nd line)



Side Effect Profile

	Placebo (n=1603) %	Rimonabant (n=2503) %
Nasopharyngitis	17.5	16.3
Upper respiratory tract infection	11.4	12.4
Nausea	4.9	11.9
Headache	11.8	9.4
Influenza	8.6	8.9
Arthralgia	8.2	8.1
Dizziness	4.9	7.5
Back pain	7.6	7.0
Sinusitis	8.0	6.5
Diarrhoea	4.8	6.3
Asthenia/fatigue	5.0	6.0
Anxiety	2.4	5.6
Insomnia	3.2	5.4

Adverse events reported at a frequency of >5% in any group.

Ongoing Phase 2 and 3 Trials with Rimonabant

Smoking cessation
Alcohol detoxification
Food craving / eating disorders
Energy expenditure
Pre-diabetes / diabetes prevention

Amylin (Pramlintide)

 Synthetic amylin approved by FDA March 2005 for use in type 1 or type 2

- Amylin is made in and secreted from β cells
- Amylin helps suppress glucagon secretion

sc injection given at mealtimes

HbA1C reduction of ~0.5%

Ruboxistaurin (Arxxant)

PKC antagonist

- PKC β is an enzyme that has been implicated in the underlying process of microvascular damage
- For the treatment of diabetic retinopathy, diabetic peripheral neuropathy and macular oedema
- Was due for launch 2006 but safety issues have delayed this

Drugs that can Precipitate or Worsen Diabetes

Corticosteroids
β blockers
? Thiazide diuretics
Atypical antipsychotics
Antidepressants
Anticonvulsants
Lithium

Insulins

Soluble (short acting) NPH (intermediate) Once daily Mixtures Insulin analogues – ultra short, long and mixtures

Insulin



Insulin Analogues



Hirsch NEJM 2005;352 (2):174-183

Beta cell



Normal insulin and glucose profiles



Figure 1: 24-h plasma glucose and insulin profiles in healthy individuals (n=12)

Mean values with 95% CI.

Lancet 2001;358:739

Insulin Profiles



Ciofetta M. et al., Diabetes Care 22:795-800, 1999

Insulin Durations



Short acting



• Humulin S



• Insulatard

• Humulin I

Once daily

Ultratard
Monotard
[Often given with Metformin]
[Both being withdrawn]

Mixtures

• Mixtard 30

• Humulin M1/M2/M3/M4/M5

Insulin Analogues


Multiple Daily Injections (MDI) NPH + Mealtime Lispro



Analogues

- Ultra short acting
 - Novorapid (Insulin Aspart)
 - Humalog (Lispro)
- Mixtures
 - Humalog 25
 - Novomix 30
- Long acting
 - Insulin Glargine
 - Detemir

Recent Data

Cost-effectiveness of insulin analogues for diabetes mellitus

Chris G. Cameron MSc, Heather A. Bennett BPharm PhD

Interpretation: The cost-effectiveness of insulin analogues depends on the type of insulin analogue and whether the patient receiving the treatment has type 1 or type 2 diabetes. With the exception of rapid-acting insulin analogues in type 1 diabetes, routine use of insulin analogues, especially long-acting analogues in type 2 diabetes, is unlikely to represent an efficient use of finite health care resources.

CMAJ 2009:180(4):400-407

Any questions?